



ONTARIO
of Justice

Plaintiff's Claim
SC23001633680860 No.: 258/98

OTTAWA

Small Claims Court

**161 ELGIN STREET, 2ND FLOOR,
OTTAWA, ON K2P 2K1**

Address

(613) 239-1054

Phone number

Claim No.

Electron ca y ssued: 2023/02/03
Dé vré par vo e é ectron que:
Ottawa

Plaintiff No. 1

Additional plaintiff(s) listed on attached Form 1A.

Under 18 years of age.

Last name, or name of company SA'D		
First name CARYMA	Second name	Also known as
Address (street number, apt., unit) 222 SPADINA AVENUE, SUITE 276		
City/Town TORONTO	Province ON	Phone no. (647)360-7182
Postal code M5T 3B3	Email address HELP@SADVOCACY.COM	
Representative		Law Society of Ontario no.
Address (street number, apt., unit)		
City/Town	Province	Phone no.
Postal code	Email address	

Defendant No. 1

Additional defendant(s) listed on attached Form 1A.

Under 18 years of age.

Last name, or name of company IFILL		
First name ERICA	Second name	Also known as
Address (street number, apt., unit) [REDACTED]		
City/Town [REDACTED]	Province [REDACTED]	Phone no.
Postal code [REDACTED]	Email address	
Representative		Law Society of Ontario no.
Address (street number, apt., unit)		
City/Town	Province	Phone no.
Postal code	Email address	

Les formules des tribunaux sont affichées en anglais et en français sur le site
www.ontariocourtforms.on.ca. Visitez ce site pour des renseignements sur des formats accessibles.

REASONS FOR CLAIM AND DETAILS

Explain what happened, including where and when. Then explain how much money you are claiming or what goods you want returned.

If you are relying on any documents, you **MUST** attach copies to the claim. If evidence is lost or unavailable, you **MUST** explain why it is not attached.

What happened? See attached Schedule "A".

Where?

When?

How much? \$ **35,000.00**
(Principal amount claimed)

ADDITIONAL PAGES ARE ATTACHED BECAUSE MORE ROOM WAS NEEDED.

The plaintiff also claims prejudgment interest from _____ under:
(Date)

(Check only one box) **the Courts of Justice Act**
 an agreement at the rate of _____ % per year

and postjudgment interest, and court costs.

Prepared on: February 2, _____, 20 23

Caryma Sa'd

(Signature of plaintiff or representative)

Issued on: _____, 20 _____

(Signature of clerk)

CAUTION TO DEFENDANT: IF YOU DO NOT FILE A DEFENCE (Form 9A) and proof of service (either an Affidavit of Service (Form 8A) or a Lawyer or Paralegal's Certificate of Service (Form 8B)) with the court within twenty (20) calendar days after you have been served with this Plaintiff's Claim, judgment may be obtained without notice and enforced against you. Forms and self-help materials are available at the Small Claims Court and on the following website: www.ontariocourtforms.on.ca.

CAUTION TO PARTIES: Unless the court orders or the rules provide otherwise, **THIS ACTION WILL BE AUTOMATICALLY DISMISSED** if it has not been disposed of by order or otherwise two (2) years after it was commenced and a trial date or assessment under subrule 11.03(2) has not been requested.

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(SMALL CLAIMS COURT)

BETWEEN:

CARYMA SA'D

Plaintiff

-and-

ERICA IFILL

Defendant

PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM

1. The Plaintiff, Caryma Sa'd ("Sa'd"), claims as follows:
 - (a) General damages in the amount of \$35,000 for defamation and loss of reputation and invasion of privacy;
 - (b) Pre-judgment interest pursuant to section 128 of the *Courts of Justice Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, as amended;
 - (c) Post-judgment interest pursuant to section 129 of the *Courts of Justice Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, as amended;
 - (d) Costs of this action pursuant to the *Rules of the Small Claims Court* and the *Courts of Justice Act*, L.R.O. 1990, c. C.43; and
 - (e) Such other relief as this Honourable Court deems just.

Parties

2. Sa'd is a brown Indo-Palestinian and Muslim lawyer and member in good standing of the Law Society of Ontario. Her law practice includes appearances before Ontario provincial courts, the Superior Court of Justice, the Divisional Court, and various administrative tribunals.

3. Sa'd is an emerging media personality. She has been featured by mainstream outlets including Associated Press, BlogTO, BNN Bloomberg, Canadian Press, CBC News, CBC Radio-Canada, Canadian Lawyer, Canadian Press, CityNews Toronto, CNN, CP24, CTV News, FOX News, Global News, Globe and Mail, Law Times, Lawyer's Daily, Ming Pao, NEWSTALK 1010, NOW Magazine, Reuters, RT (Russia Today), Sing Tao, Toronto Star, TVO, VICE News, and Yahoo News.

4. In addition to media appearances, Sa'd creates and produces her own original content. She uses social media to publish commentary and satire on a range of contemporary issues. Her content includes comics, photographs, videos, parody songs, and writing.

5. Sa'd is most active on Twitter, where she has roughly 44,000 followers on a public account under the handle @CarymaRules. She also has profiles on Instagram, Facebook, and LinkedIn, with a combined following of approximately 30,000 accounts on these platforms.

6. The Defendant, Erica Ifill, is an individual who resides in the City of Ottawa, in the Province of Ontario. At all material times, the Defendant was a columnist with The Hill Times and actively publishing comments and statements on Twitter under the handle @wickdchiq. Her account has approximately 18,000 followers.

Background

7. This claim relates to defamatory statements made by the Defendant that harm Sa'd's professional reputation and impugn her character. On or about August 24, 2022, the Defendant instigated and encouraged an online smear campaign against Sa'd by making disparaging comments and inflammatory accusations on Twitter. The Defendant continued to pillory Sa'd until it crystallized into the tortious activity described herein.

8. Sa'd has attended political rallies and events across Ontario to observe and document goings-on since 2020. Sa'd's coverage involves gonzo journalism, in that she occasionally inserts herself into the story as a protagonist.

9. Sa'd is outspoken about controversial issues. She is targeted with online and real-world harassment by partisans on all sides of the political spectrum for expressing her views.

10. Sa'd experienced a major uptick in online harassment throughout November 2022.

11. On November 21, 2022, Sa'd temporarily protected her tweets which rendered her content invisible to non-followers. The Defendant's handle @wickdchiq was not following @CarymaRules at the time and could neither directly view nor interact with Sa'd's tweets.

12. On November 22, 2022, Sa'd came across a tweet by the Toronto Metropolitan University School of Journalism (@JournalismTMU) promoting an upcoming panel about "Online Harassment Targeting Women Journalists." The attached graphic included names and images for each of the scheduled panelists: Vicky Mochama, Kiran Nazish, Hazel Woodrow, Angela Misri, and the Defendant.

13. Sa'd registered to attend the panel.

14. On November 22, 2022, at 4:10 PM EST, Sa'd quote tweeted @JournalismTMU. She remarked that each of the panelists were paired with a headshot, except Hazel Woodrow whose accompanying image was the Canadian Anti-Hate Network (CAHN) logo. Sa'd raised questions about transparency in journalism, particularly with respect to writers at CAHN. Sa'd did not make any reference to the Defendant whatsoever.

15. The Defendant somehow obtained access to Sa'd's protected tweets.

16. On November 22, 2022, at 7:14 PM EST, the Defendant, armed with screenshots of Sa'd's protected tweets, falsely accused Sa'd of targeting women for violence and avoiding accountability by locking her account. The Defendant tweeted dozens of times about Sa'd for the remainder of the night and throughout the following day.

17. The Defendant's cyberbullying campaign against Sa'd is ongoing. Her tweets (hereinafter the "**Libellous Statements**") are publicly accessible to all, whether one has a personal Twitter account or not. As of the issuing of this Statement of Claim, such tweets remain online.

18. On November 23, 2022, Sa'd served the Defendant with a Notice of Libel. Notwithstanding this precautionary measure, Sa'd takes the position that tweets do not fall within the meaning of "broadcast" under the *Libel and Slander Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. L. 12.

Libellous Statements

19. The Defendant communicated to her followers and anyone seeing her tweets of and concerning the Plaintiff under the handle @wickdchiq, words which are defamatory, including the following words:

November 22, 2022, at 7:14 PM EST

Why is this such a thing to @CarymaRules? Probably because women don't want to be targeted for violence especially by other women who use them for clout chasing.

Also isn't she actually targeting @antihateca IRL?

Stop giving attention and money to someone so craven

[...]

November 22, 2022, at 7:29 PM EST

Anyone who can lob the shit she does and have her tweets protected so she doesn't have to face the consequences is not the "ally" you think she is. She can troll and prey on people without accountability GTFOH

[...]

November 22, 2022, at 7:40 PM EST

I don't know why a progressive or liberal or even centrist would follow, praise, donate and/or support this woman in any way. Basically you're supporting a lefty who is supported by the far right. I'm insulted as someone targeted by the far right why y'all would support her

[...]

November 22, 2022, at 7:44 PM EST

I'm insulted as someone who does the work that this woman gets praised for the performance of doing the work. NEVER invite me to anywhere she is. I won't do any sort of media or anything with her. And I'm glad she hasn't been invited to anything I've been at

[...]

November 23, 2022, at 12:21 AM EST

Thread on Caryma's terrible character [links thread by @mzfitzzz]

February 8, 2022, at 5:47 PM EST via @mzfitzzz

A lot of new followers who seem confused by an issue we educate on, we thought we'd provide clarity on Caryma Sa'd (@carymarules) putting bounties on, doxing, stalking, harassing & abusing her powers as a lawyer to maliciously go after marginalized people who criticize her 🗣️

[...]

November 23, 2022, at 10:11 AM EST

White people in my mentions defending Caryma tells me everything. They're white and know no better and want to tell a Black woman who is more real on the anti-racism/anti-fascism front. The motherfucking caucasity is real

[...]

November 23, 2022, at 10:13 AM EST

How would they know? They're here to be taught about this stuff but want to defend a woman who doxxed communities of colour and still feel they're the arbiter of who is legitimate. This tells me they've learned nothing of the assumption of power they have in our communities

[...]

November 23, 2022, at 10:18 AM EST

And that is the point. As long as someone is treating our communities like shit for the right wing they are supportive of that person of colour. They will attack anyone who gets in the way of that project. So of course Caryma is their favourite she's doing their dirty work

[...]

November 23, 2022, at 10:33 AM EST

Caryma is a plant for white supremacy and I'm taking note of the people, 99% who are white, are on my mentions telling me shit like I don't know what right wing violence looks like personally. Caryma is our Hershel Walker who will say and promote whatever white supremacy wants

20. In addition to the tweet from November 23, 2022, at 12:21 AM EST being defamatory itself, the text incorporated by reference via quote tweet constitutes an adoption or endorsement of the defamatory words contained in the quote tweet. The combined tweet and quote tweet published by the Defendant is a publication and/or republication of the defamatory material.

21. The Libellous Statements contain serious false allegations against the Plaintiff in their plain and ordinary meaning or by virtue of the surrounding circumstances which give the words a defamatory meaning or by innuendo, in that they:

- (a) Falsely allege the Plaintiff targets women for violence;
- (b) Falsely allege or imply the Plaintiff receives material support from the far-right;
- (c) Falsely allege or imply the Plaintiff takes direction from the far-right;
- (d) Falsely allege or imply the Plaintiff does not produce any valuable work;
- (e) Falsely allege or imply the Plaintiff is unworthy of distinction, acclaim, or financial support, particularly in respect of her media coverage;
- (f) Falsely allege the Plaintiff is of terrible character;
- (g) Falsely allege the Plaintiff puts bounties on people;
- (h) Falsely allege the Plaintiff stalks people;
- (i) Falsely allege the Plaintiff harasses people;

- (j) Falsely allege the Plaintiff abuses her powers as a lawyer;
- (k) Falsely allege the Plaintiff targets her marginalized critics;
- (l) Falsely allege or imply the Plaintiff publishes private information about communities of colour;
- (m) Falsely allege or imply the Plaintiff is racist or intolerant, particularly as against persons of colour;
- (n) Falsely allege or imply the Plaintiff is a plant or dupe for white supremacy;
- (o) Falsely allege that the Plaintiff is worthy of shame, ridicule, social rejection and ostracization;
- (p) Invite others to endeavour to deprive the Plaintiff of financial support and other opportunities; and
- (q) Invite others to attack, shame, ridicule and harass the Plaintiff.

22. The Plaintiff put the Defendant on notice that her Libellous Statements were false and defamatory and that she should retract and apologize for making them.

23. The Defendant refused to retract her Libellous Statements and instead intensified her campaign of cyberbullying as against the Plaintiff.

24. In addition to the defamatory statements outlined above, the Defendant made the statements and republications listed on Schedule “A” which this Honourable Court should consider when assessing aggravated, exemplary, and punitive damages.

Defamation

25. The Defendant’s Libellous Statements are defamatory in their entirety as they state, in their plain and ordinary meaning or by virtue of the surrounding circumstances, which give the words a defamatory meaning and/or innuendo, that the Plaintiff is racist, violent, and dishonest.

26. The Defendant knew when the defamatory statements were made that they would falsely and maliciously imply that the Plaintiff is racist, violent, and dishonest.

27. The allegations, on their face or by way of innuendo, are defamatory, as they tend to lower the Plaintiff in the estimation of reasonable people and, in particular, would cause the Plaintiff to be regarded with feelings of hatred, contempt, ridicule, fear, or dislike.

28. The Libellous Statements published by the Defendant are completely and absolutely false, defamatory, and libellous of the Plaintiff. The Defendant has defamed and libelled the Plaintiff in her character, honesty, sincerity, and integrity.

29. The Defendant knew or ought to have known that the Libellous Statements, posts and comments were false, that they were detrimental to the Plaintiff and that they would cause such damage. Alternatively, the Defendant knowingly and recklessly disregarded the

falsity of these words and disregarded the effect and impact that such Libellous Statements would have on the Plaintiff.

30. The Defendant authored the impugned Libellous Statements, posts, and comments containing the Libellous Statements and is liable for their publication.

Republication

31. The Libellous Statements were replied to, shared and/or liked by the Defendant's followers, causing the Libellous Statements to be further propagated on Twitter and beyond.

32. The social media shares constitute republication for which the Defendant is liable. The republication by others online was the natural and probable result of the Defendant's publication. The Defendant condoned and encouraged the republication of her Libellous Statements by allowing them to remain visible on Twitter and by failing to have them removed in a timely manner once notified by the Plaintiff, and by further inviting others to comment and share them throughout their networks.

33. The Defendant in fact sought out and encouraged others to seek and destroy the Plaintiff. The actions of others were therefore the direct and probable result of the Defendant's actions, for which she is liable.

34. The Plaintiff intends to hold the Defendant responsible for any and all republications of the Defendant's defamatory tweets.

False Light and Invasion of Privacy

35. The Defendant knowingly, with the intention to cause harm, and without lawful justification placed the Plaintiff in false light by publicly making each and every statement as detailed in paragraph 19 herein above, with the meanings as pleaded in paragraph 21 herein above.

36. The Defendant's statements placed the Plaintiff in a false light, given that they accuse her of *inter alia*, racism, white supremacy, violence against women, and other beliefs widely and rightfully denounced by Canadian society.

37. In particular, the Defendant's false statements as detailed in paragraph 17 herein above, to the effect that the Plaintiff is a plant for white supremacy is deliberately misleading and a public misrepresentation of the Plaintiff's actions.

38. A reasonable person would find it highly offensive to be publicly misrepresented by the false statements as detailed in paragraph 19, herein above.

39. The Defendant either knew that her numerous statements were false or acted in reckless disregard of the falsity of the statements. The Defendant knew, or should have known, that the effect would be to place the Plaintiff in a false light.

40. As such, the Defendant is liable for the torts of invasion of privacy and publicly placing the Plaintiff in a false light.

Damages

41. The actions of the Defendant contributed to a vicious and protracted online harassment campaign against the Plaintiff.

42. The Libellous Statements have caused and will cause the Plaintiff considerable reputational damage, including in respect of her career as a lawyer, and in harming her by negatively impacting media engagement and public speaking opportunities.

43. The Plaintiff has lost business opportunities because of the Defendant's actions.

44. The Plaintiff has been subjected to intense scrutiny and public shame by the community at large because of the Defendant's false allegations.

45. As a result of the defamatory words contained in the Libellous Statements, posts, and comments, the Plaintiff has suffered personal embarrassment and loss of reputation.

46. The Plaintiff holds the Defendant liable for all damages flowing from her actions.

Malice

47. The Defendant's conduct was malicious, oppressive, and high-handed, and constituted a marked departure from ordinary standards of decent behaviour.

48. The Defendant is animated by hatred and jealousy of the Plaintiff.

49. The strategic posting of defamatory statements was specifically intended by the Defendant in order to cause maximum damage to the Plaintiff. The Defendant knew or ought

to have known that the impact of the defamatory statements would affect the Plaintiff's ability to have her work received in good faith.

50. The Defendant intentionally misrepresented facts relating to the Plaintiff in order to suit her own narrative, and in order to gain attention and increase her public profile as a columnist and podcast host.

51. All the foregoing warrants an award of aggravated and/or punitive damages substantial enough to serve as condemnation of the Defendant's reckless attack on The Plaintiff's character.

← Thread



Erica Ifill ✓
@wickdchiq



...WTF? Am I supposed to respond to hate crimes with a “haha”?

What is wrong with this woman? Is everything seen through the lens of a grift with her?
She ain't skinfolk and she ain't kinfolk.

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political Satir... ✓ @CarymaRuL... · Aug 24, 2022

Diagolon is an imaginary country. The associated lore is somewhat absurd; for example, the nation is led by a goat named King Phillip.

The more seriously media takes the group, the more they can make fun of everyone.

A meme animated by real discontent, and a penchant for guns.

[Show this thread](#)

8:32 PM · Aug 24, 2022

32 Retweets 8 Quote Tweets 205 Likes



Tweet your reply

Reply



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022



Replying to @wickdchiq

Graeme is right. How can we not take this seriously?

 **Graeme Frisque**  @GraemeFrisque · Aug 24, 2022

Replying to @CarymaRules

How can you not take stuff like this seriously?
twitter.com/atRachelGilmor...

 2

 8

 95







Erica Ifill  @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022

...

The gaslighting that [@CarymaRules](#) is doing is harmful and dangerous and in service of white supremacists. Think about that: a woman of colour so selfish she is concerned with her grift, that she will actively promote violent neo-Nazis' quest in violence against women.

 8

 23

 144







Erica Ifill  @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022

...

Let's not bring up CS and Chinatown...

 3

 3

 65







Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political ...  @Caryma... · Aug 24, 2022

...

Replying to [@wickdchiq](#)

That's a misrepresentation of my thread, but go off.

 **Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political ...**  @Caryma... · Aug 24, 2022

Irony poisoning allows people to mask harmful ideologies as "satire," and provides a built-in defence against any dissent.

Recognizing the strategy for what it is doesn't mean being dismissive of real dangers. It's simply an opportunity to assess how to respond most effectively. twitter.com/CarymaRules/st...

[Show this thread](#)

After Dirk Denkhaus, a young German firefighter, attempted to set a house full of refugees on fire, The New York Times

diagnosed him with a novel malady: irony poisoning. Denkhaus had spent hours on Facebook, ironically exchanging Nazi greetings and racist memes with friends — until deciding to turn jokes into violent action.

In other words, he was kidding, until he wasn't.

The term “irony poisoning” first appeared on the Internet about four years ago, and can describe the altered state of people so deeply enthralled in a culture of ironic “humor” that

4 ↻ 13 📄 ↗



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022

...

Replying to @CarymaRules

Yet it's not a misrepresentation of you.

2 ↻ 1 42 📄 ↗



Adam Boyce @AdamBoyce4 · Aug 24, 2022

...

Replying to @wickdchiq and @CarymaRules

Nazis and their sympathizers (read: other nazis) think the "just trolling" thing is still working in 2022.

3 ↻ 2 16 📄 ↗



Christie MacFadyen @ChristieMacFad · Aug 25, 2022



Replying to [@AdamBoyce4](#) [@wickdchiq](#) and [@CarymaRules](#)

I see ongoing public demeaning of the victims here by her while uplifting the supposed sophistication of the perpetrators and sorry what's the insight on how to deal with the tactics of these people? I think people know a deadly threat when they see one also a dangerous grifter.



← Tweet



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022 ...

...WTF? Am I supposed to respond to hate crimes with a "haha"?
What is wrong with this woman? Is everything seen through the lens of a grift with her?
She ain't skinfolk and she ain't kinfolk.

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political ... ✓ @Caryma... · Aug 24, 2022

Diagolon is an imaginary country. The associated lore is somewhat absurd; for example, the nation is led by a goat named King Phillip.

The more seriously media takes the group, the more they can make fun of everyone.

A meme animated by real discontent, and a penchant for guns.

[Show this thread](#)

25 40 205



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022 ...

Graeme is right. How can we not take this seriously?

Graeme Frisque ✓ @GraemeFrisque · Aug 24, 2022

Replying to @CarymaRules

How can you not take stuff like this seriously?
twitter.com/atRachelGilmor...

2 8 95



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022 ...

The gaslighting that [@CarymaRules](#) is doing is harmful and dangerous and in service of white supremacists. Think about that: a woman of colour so selfish she is concerned with her grift, that she will actively promote violent neo-Nazis' quest in violence against women.

8 23 144



Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political ...  @Caryma... · Aug 24, 2022 ...

Replying to [@wickdchiq](#)

Break it down for me, what part of my tweets “actively promote[s] violent neo-Nazis’ quest in violence against women”?

I make an observation about irony poisoning, note there is real discontent, and include reference to the apparent prevalence of guns.

There’s clearly danger.



Erica Ifill  @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022 ...

Replying to [@CarymaRules](#)

I already quote tweeted it. You’re not that dumb. I’ve seen your grift for years and you’ve always flirted with white supremacy for clicks. You’ve always cashed in on other people’s pain and now you’ve come into my shit. So you’ll hear from me. Believe that.



← **Tweet**



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022



...WTF? Am I supposed to respond to hate crimes with a "haha"?
What is wrong with this woman? Is everything seen through the lens of a grift with her?
She ain't skinfolk and she ain't kinfolk.

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political ... ✓ @Caryma... · Aug 24, 2022

Diagolon is an imaginary country. The associated lore is somewhat absurd; for example, the nation is led by a goat named King Phillip.

The more seriously media takes the group, the more they can make fun of everyone.

A meme animated by real discontent, and a penchant for guns.

[Show this thread](#)

25 40 205



Rustler of goats and jimmies. @NHC_Gigi · Aug 24, 2022



Replying to @wickdchiq
Never has been, never will be.
Anti-Black AF, to boot.

Had a whole goddamned story about how the Chris Sky counter demo was sparked by a Black woman pissed about a comment she made about -- and I swear to you I am not making this up --

A watermelon.

1 2 29



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022



Replying to @NHC_Gigi
I have no doubt as to the accuracy of this. She seems anti-Black and anti-Asian.

1 18



Rustler of goats and jimmies. @NHC_Gigi · Aug 24, 2022



Replying to @wickdchiq

Kinda surprised she's doing her own dirty work in the thread.

Normally she just quote tweets you with a variation on: "Will no one rid me of this meddlesome priest," and a bunch of white Dora Milaje appear in your thread.

For weeks.



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022



Replying to @NHC_Gigi

Well I see she's quiet ever since her Diagonlon bros came to her rescue



Rustler of goats and jimmies. @NHC_Gigi · Aug 24, 2022



Replying to @wickdchiq

Lovely.




Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022



Replying to @NHC_Gigi

#ICYMI I took screenshots



2 3 13



Rustler of goats and jimmies. @NHC_Gigi · Aug 24, 2022

Replying to @wickdchiq



Yikes.

Also:

Welcome to the Canadian Anti Hate Network.

Yeah, I don't work there either, but she tells everybody who will listen that anyone she doesn't like is CAHN.

1 1 17



Erica Ifill @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022

Replying to @NHC_Gigi

Oh the white supremacist in brownface says what?

2 14



Rustler of goats and jimmies.

@NHC_Gigi

Replying to @wickdchiq

OMG, while we're on the subject, I think you missed this the other day! You know that half the weird shit in some of the hate mail actually originates with her, right?



Rustler of goats and jimmies. @NHC_Gigi · Aug 19, 2022

Replying to @wickdchiq

You're gonna love the weird tie-in to my seemingly unrelated death threats, that are much less unrelated now that I have read the letters as posted by Anna Junker.

You know the odd passage about encampments?

archive.ph/2022.07.29-211...

9:49 PM · Aug 24, 2022

3 Retweets **13** Likes



← Thread



Erica Ifill @wickdchiq · Nov 22, 2022

Why is this such a thing to @CarymaRules? Probably because women don't want to be targeted for violence especially by other women who use them for clout chasing.

Also isn't she actually targeting @antihateca IRL?

Stop giving attention and money to someone so craven




45 63 362



Erica Ifill @wickdchiq · Nov 22, 2022

Anyone who can lob the shit she does and have her tweets protected so she doesn't have to face the consequences is not the "ally" you think she is. She can troll and prey on people without accountability GTFOH



@CarymaRules

DM if your publication is looking for editorial cartoons. Tweets ≠ legal advice. RTs, likes ≠ endorsements.

Media Personality ⓘ Toronto

CarymaRules.com Joined November 2016

7,192 Following 44.8K Followers

These Tweets are protected.

Only confirmed followers have access to @CarymaRules's Tweets and complete profile. Tap the "Follow" button to send a follow request.

32

23

229



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Nov 22, 2022



I don't know why a progressive or liberal or even centrist would follow, praise, donate and/or support this woman in any way. Basically you're supporting a lefty who is supported by the far right. I'm insulted as someone targeted by the far right why y'all would support her

Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Aug 24, 2022

So Diagolon is coming to @CarymaRules' defence. I couldn't make this up if I tried twitter.com/DiagolonDPFA/s...

[Show this thread](#)

18

22

214





Erica Ifill ✓
@wickdchiq



I'm insulted as someone who does the work that this woman gets praised for the performance of doing the work. NEVER invite me to anywhere she is. I won't do any sort of media or anything with her. And I'm glad she hasn't been invited to anything I've been at

7:44 PM · Nov 22, 2022

9 Retweets 194 Likes




← Tweet



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Nov 22, 2022 ...

Why is this such a thing to @CarymaRules? Probably because women don't want to be targeted for violence especially by other women who use them for clout chasing.

Also isn't she actually targeting @antihateca IRL?
Stop giving attention and money to someone so craven



45 63 362



Chris Larsen @thechrislarsen · Nov 22, 2022 ...

Replying to @wickdchiq @CarymaRules and @antihateca

She really can't figure out that they might be camera shy to avoid stalking and doxxing?

I'm a white guy and even I can figure that out.

6 1 63



Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political ... ✓ @Caryma... · Nov 22, 2022 ...

Replying to @thechrislarsen @wickdchiq and @antihateca

I do not accept the premise (which hasn't been put forth by the organization itself) that CAHN writers are at higher risk than other journalists, to the point of justifying effectively anonymous reporting.

Every other participant on the panel is identified, including Erica.



Mark Bourrie  @MarkBourrie · Nov 22, 2022



Replying to [@CarymaRules](#) [@thechrilarsen](#) and 2 others

CAHN "writers" are not journalists by any definition.
One of these things does not look like the others.



Fred Wu @effwu · Nov 22, 2022



Replying to [@MarkBourrie](#) [@CarymaRules](#) and 3 others

If anyone can credibly self-identify as "journalist", then so can Caryma, and Ifill is harassing a woman journalist online the day before she's speaking [@JournalismTMU](#) against harassing women journalists online.



Noelle (Totally not Tony, but also not ... @Noelle... · Nov 22, 2022



Replying to [@effwu](#) [@MarkBourrie](#) and 5 others

Go away Fred



Fred Wu @effwu · Nov 22, 2022



Replying to [@Noellenarwhal](#) [@MarkBourrie](#) and 5 others

Who are you?




Erica Ifill  @wickdchiq · Nov 22, 2022



Replying to [@effwu](#) [@Noellenarwhal](#) and 5 others

Who the fuck are you and why are you stanning for Jeremy McKenzie's defence council the same one you Jo targeted Asian communities a couple of years ago with violence from a white supremacist? Tell me why.





Noelle (Totally not Tony, but also not ... @Noelle... · Nov 22, 2022 ...

Replying to @wickdchiq @effwu and 5 others

Fred has gone after Dawn and Kevin over a year after the Chinatown incident



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq · Nov 22, 2022 ...

Replying to @Noellenarwhal @effwu and 5 others

Ah Fred thinks he's white



Mark Bourrie ✓ @MarkBourrie ...

@MarkBourrie

Replying to @wickdchiq @Noellenarwhal and 5 others

Psycho

9:02 PM · Nov 22, 2022

1 Like



← Tweet



Dean Blundell   @ItsDeanBlundell · Nov 23

This is why I LOVE working with @CarymaRules. @Antihateca proxy/roll Erica Ifill @wickdchiq has spent months slandering Caryma with White Supremacy tropes. Caryma served her Libel/Slander notice after moderating a panel about HARASSING WOMEN IN MEDIA, and I'm DYING LAUGHING.



🗨️ 135 ↻ 173 ❤️ 896 ↗

↳ Erica Ifill Retweeted



Tony of the inner circle
@TonyYvce

Replying to @ItsDeanBlundell @CarymaRules and 2 others

So she harassed women in media at a conference about harassing women in media.

Fucking brilliant.

4:54 PM · Nov 23, 2022 · Twitter Web App

9 Retweets 120 Likes

🗨️ ↻ ❤️ ↗

Erica Ifill Retweeted



Sam Hersh @SamHersh01 · Nov 23

If folks aren't aware of the whole debacle where Caryma Sa'd invited Chris Sky, a noted White supremacist into a mostly racialized neighborhood despite them demanding she didn't (and then violence predictably ensued) here's a good thread about it:

deep breath @DesmondCole · Jul 10

a year ago today, Sa'd invited bigot and COVID conspiracist Chris Sky to Chinatown

many predicted it would end badly, and asked Sa'd to reconsider. instead Sa'd, through her lawyer, said police would be there to "disperse" those who gathered outside her event

[Show this thread](#)

FROM TORONTO CANADA

420

CANNABIS COURT

OPENING NIGHT

MAIN EVENT

LIVE INTERVIEW

CHRIS SKY
WITH "DR ZEE"

CARYMA SA'D

FEATURING

PERFORMANCE: BLACK ZEUS

INTERVIEW: PASTOR PEIKANG DAI

PERFORMANCE: KARTHIK SIVAKUMAR

MORE ACTS TBA

222 SPADINA AVE
SATURDAY JULY 10 2021

STREAMS: 9PM

sunshine11.com

instagram

twitch

facebook

twitter

13

113

381



[Show this thread](#)



Bonham's Right Foot @FullMeasureFill · Nov 24

Replying to @Zedagain @Joyhenderson78 and 4 others

She took the case because she supports his actions. End of.



1



10



Zack @Zedagain · Nov 24

Replying to @FullMeasureFill @Joyhenderson78 and 4 others

Your confident yet erroneous opinion aside, that's not how the legal system in Canada works.

In your haste to attack @CarymaRules you've basically painted every criminal lawyer in a negative light. Do you generalize often?



5



2



CM @RichardMuncherr · Nov 24

Replying to @Zedagain @FullMeasureFill and 5 others

Every criminal lawyer who goes out of their way to represent a white supremacist who has given that lawyer a platform to earn money should absolutely be questioned.



1



7



Erica Ifill Retweeted



Kevin Shablik

@KShablik

Replying to @RichardMuncherr @Zedagain and 6 others

She had a choice. No one forced her to represent him. CHOOSING to represent a white supremacist is the questionable act that is open to legitimate criticism. She should use her skills to represent clients, esp IBPOC ppl, who were traumatized because of McKenzie's terrorist acts.

7:41 AM · Nov 24, 2022 · Twitter for Android

2 Retweets 18 Likes



← Thread



Bored Nation ✓ @DesmondCole · Nov 25, 2022

I first heard about Caryma Sa'd several years ago. My friend was facing eviction at the landlord tenant board and retained Sa'd to defend her

Sa'd didn't show up to the hearing, and instead sent an associate whom my friend said was unprepared. She was forced to move out

27 238 1,203



Bored Nation ✓ @DesmondCole

not surprised that Sa'd is threatening to sue Erica Ifill over a retweet. that video was an attempt to humiliate Erica and get attention

I support Erica ❤️❤️❤️ and all the Black and racialized women Sa'd is targeting for speaking the truth. this use of the law is disCOSTing

10:53 AM · Nov 25, 2022

130 Retweets 5 Quote Tweets 1,077 Likes

× Retweeted by



Erica Ifill ✓ @wickdchiq

Follow

Economist & Journalist. Advocacy @NotInMyColour. Co-host @badandbitchy. Columnist @thehilltimes. Writing and researching so Black ppl know they're not crazy 😊

← **Tweet**



Joy Henderson @Joyhenderson78 · Dec 2, 2022



Caryma who makes a habit of targeting and harassing Black women, making sure they are portrayed to her largely white neoliberal following as "vicious", "abusive" and angry, is also trying so hard to be dark skinned.

Girl, you are lighter than I am, sit down!

↻
♡

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Politic... ✓

Replying to @CarymaRules

1) I have been the victim of near-daily harassment for years. I am mostly stoic to avoid being defined in relation to my adversaries.

My (dark) skin is extremely thick.

That said, I am no doormat. If people dish it, they should be ready to take it

8) Erica Ifill's tweets about me got increasingly malicious and abusive. It was not her first unprovoked attack on my character and reputation, but by far the most vicious.

Reminder: Nobody was privy to the life circumstances that prompted me

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political S... ✓
@CarymaRules

I do not regret exposing Erica Ifill as a cowardly hypocrite.

If my skin tone makes me unworthy of solidarity for refusing to let this drop, so be it.

💬 2
↻ 10
♡ 53
🔗

💬 20 ↻ 32 ♡ 171 📄 ⬆️



Erica Ifill ✓
@wickdchiq



Replying to @Joyhenderson78

She's fair-skinned

8:08 PM · Dec 2, 2022

1 Quote Tweet 18 Likes

💬 ↻ ♡ ⬆️